



Community Feedback from the Current Pathways Workshops

Below are the key points participants raised during the facilitated session at the public workshops in November

Key: Fairlie= Blue; Geraldine= black; Timaru= red

Information, Communication & Awareness		
Нарру	Concerned	Solutions
 The information available: the more we hear and the more data we get the better The modelling looks robust There is a general hold in environmental/ economic and social indicators There are some localised positives Practical actions are shown to be effective and there are good example of farmers working hard Modelling is on the right track in terms of 'where to from here' Issues have been acknowledged and recognised and things are happening on the ground! A lot of people are working hard and on task to give a thorough explanation 	 Current pathways causes some major changes for some and not many major benefits for the environment Accuracy of the science The modelling shows: "Not enough, bugger all!," little or no improvement against the outcomes and indicators There are some small wins but these are unlikely to achieve the zone wide community outcomes Is the modelling robust? Concerned that the assumptions used for modelling are not robust enough to confidently forecast outcomes given the recognised holes in data. The complex interactions in the modelling may well under sell the current plan and its benefits Concerned about the current constraints in modelling improvements Generalising an area- it only takes one stream or small area to keep a site red when all others may be yellow Assumptions lead to stuff ups- we need more assurance around these assumptions Assumed that GMP results in 5-15% increase in water quality and assumed that everyone is at GMP Discussions have centred around the farming industry but we have not focussed on the wider community who aren't farming We are looking at a small piece of history Lack of communication on GMP 	 Industry, agriculture and urban are all part of the solution The farmers say needs to be heard Communication is really important We need more research + baseline figures on lag times in GW It is important for landowners to tell others about the practices that have been adopted to improve outcomes. Ways to address this is through leadership, farmers telling their story Publicise and promote good key practicable steps Make modelling accessible and understandable for others to adopt Impact of science, technology and genetic gain going forward Do a study for the wider community to see how many FEP's have been done i.e. through talking to DairyNZ, Beef and Lamb, OWL, Rangitata South and FAR We need an improved shared understanding between townies and farmers and also for 'community' to mean the town and farmers rather than two separate groups





Water Quality		
Нарру	Concerned	Solutions
 Lake Opuha flushing flows and greater effect with the downstream weir The Opihi mainstem at Burkes Pass is still swimmable There is good water quality in the South Opuha + dam There is good water quality in the Upper Orari Upper catchment status quo Local actions can reduce ecoli levels at swimming sites e.g. the Waihi River Is maintained Trending in the right direction We are meeting national bottom lines for secondary contact 	 Uncertainty about lag times in nitrogen and groundwater Nitrate is a problem Is water quality increasing fast enough? Swimmable water is non-negotiable The Hae Hae Te Moana river low stable flows, heat/ no shade causing didymo and periphyton issue Cyanobacteria downstream of the Opihi river Dairy grazing next to waterways Lower parts of the catchments are declining The rivers are not swimmable for fish The Orari river plan does not address water quality standards River access is an issue in the Orari River- if more sites were swimmable then access would be less of an issue The whole community bears the cost of water quality deterioration (even low emitters) Development i.e. changes in landuse are contributing to visual deterioration of the river in a lifetime The national bottom line should be swimmable water rather than secondary contact Periphyton growth in the lower reaches 	 There needs to be a change in rules around stock access into waterways and how we enforce this The need for higher minimum flows or mitigation on-farm Linking communities with key recreational sites Identifying hotspots and getting groups of people to rectify these Flushing flows, GMP, riparian planting, fencing to reduce sediment levels Need more farm monitoring





Water Quantity		
Нарру	Concerned	Solutions
	 Water is over-allocated The drop in reliability when consents are reviewed to align with new minimum flows Tributary flows which may be reviewed The need to address over allocation- A reduction to 90% in over allocated catchments is not good enough We need to reduce over-allocation so that there is no more over-allocation Over-allocation is leading to lower noticeable water table and river flows Is 80% irrigation efficiency achieved now and is that achievable in the future? Over-allocation and water abstraction does not appear to be significantly addressed in the current path At the moment the measurement of ecosystem health for rivers is still focused on minimum flows 90% reduction of over-allocation is not enough reduction when thinking about consents that come in for renewal We can't address anything without addressing over-allocation 	 Look at seasonal changes happening and what effect that may have on the environment Bringing in alpine water Put a time limit on the expiry of consents for review Accelerate potential solutions i.e. we need to start thinking beyond the existing scenario and towards possible storage options Irrigators needs to harvest water at higher flows only, and to retain harvested water in storage on-farm & not double dip Minimum flow and low flow is only 1 of 3 components of environmental flows. As part of a solution we need to consider environmental flows and then minimum flows Need to learn more about seasonal climate fluctuations when thinking about water storage Increasing flushing flows

Good Management Practice (GMP)		
Нарру	Concerned	Solutions
 GMP leads to (up to 15%) improvement in water quality GMP having a positive impact is reassuring GMP shows that we have made a start 	 Disappointed at the reductions GMP will provide Disappointed that GMP is not more beneficial What is GMP if we are not achieving other outcomes? i.e. social, recreational, environmental outcomes Will GMP realise the 5-15% gains? Some people will already be at GMP and others may struggle 	 Better advice and management to give more benefits associated with GMP We need more incentives for achieving GMP but also for Best management practice which involves continuous improvement e.g. through increasing \$\$ Innovation through the likes of GPS/ virtual





 FEP's are a great incentive for farmers to 	 Implementation of GMP and PC5 are only just holding the 	Good GMP for the whole community
undertake GMP	status quo	

Practical Actions		
Нарру	Concerned	Solutions
 Things are happening! The funding put into biodiversity enhancements i.e. Immediate Steps Industry groups/ programmes/ catchment groups working with farmers to improve onfarm management Biodiversity is trending in the right direction Current biodiversity projects are occurring already Practical actions but not much else 	 ECan spraying rivers/ trees which are good for providing shade The lack of monitoring and the ratio of consents to monitor vs the staff 	 We need more local action Identifying hotspots and getting groups of people to rectify these (if you cut your thumb, you need to put a plaster on your finger). In terms of the 5-15% improvement this will focus effort on the bottom up We need to ramp up on the ground enhancements There needs to be changes in how we enforce stock access in waterways Riparian management with emphasis on native biodiversity There is the need for more monitoring River management plans for weeds or riparian planting programmes and co-funding in order to provide for the birdlife and to maintain the braided rivers Being able to directly link your action to improved water quality Increase our existing support in terms of the practical actions going on Encouraging projects such as the Immediate Steps programme Practical actions need to be encouraged i.e. through Immediate Steps funding More monitoring of water quality and quantity which is continuous and regular i.e. more than 1 month of the year Planting supplied by industry groups i.e. Silver Fern Farms, Fonterra





Planning		
Нарру	Concerned	Solutions
 There are some dryland farmers who are happy with natural rainfall (quantity?) Flexibility around fencing of waterways for high country farmers 	 The reliability of Overseer as a basis for setting limits Frustration with the process and current rules Perceived future limits There are no figures on nutrient limits yet Dairy grazing next to waterways Should dairy farmers winter stock at home? Managing cumulative effects in a fair way There needs to be a consistent approach to high country farmers when it comes to setting limits Restrictions on changing land use and intensification All of the zone is either red or orange for nutrient management and there is little in the plan about nutrient management The plans don't address different areas of the zone that have a better or worse current state e.g. Orari, Opihi catchments. Concerned about whether current pathways picks up on the small blocks of land which are not covered by PC5 (i.e. areas of winter feed, irrigation) Does the current pathways show that the Orari and Pareora plans have a positive environmental effect? Clarity on fencing exclusions and winter grazing Generalising an area- it only takes one stream or small area to keep a site red when all others may be yellow 	 Plans need to take into account dryland intensification Need to separate the Te Ana a Wai catchment from the Opihi because the flow is not augmented there, unlike the Opuha/Opihi Equity when addressing nutrient allocations There is the need for equity among all animals i.e. all stock excluded River management plans for weeds Alter the current plan to make it possible to deliver swimmable rivers and streams catchment wide One way to keep stock out of waterways is to ensure that there is good stockwater systems (TDC responsibility)





Cultural information		
Нарру	Concerned	Solutions
 The cultural perspective is capturing the wider community perspective & aspirations 	 The modelling shows little or no improvement which is considered poor against the cultural indicators, particularly in the lower parts of the catchment The influence from the local Rūnanga is good to have but getting personal preference is an issue The potential impact of cultural flows if they applied 	

Economic		
Нарру	Concerned	Solutions
 The economic aspects of current pathways are maintained The economic assessment shows some flexibility to achieve the outcomes 	 The financial implications of this planning process Small improvements at a large cost Business as usual does not allow us to build resilience in our economies/ environment to future proof us from climate impacts The costs of consents can be a fortune for little to no gain It's a big price to pay to achieve the economic gain outcome and we have concern about maintaining that in the long run There is a disconnect between 'community wellbeing' used simply in the context of economic value- are we measuring all dimensions of community wellbeing? 	 Opportunities for co-funding trials Adopting crowd funding within local communities (this can also help to build relationships)