

## CPW Report on Proposed Selwyn District Council Conditions: February 2010

Consent authority	Section	Agree/ disagree	Comments
Selwyn District Council			
Land use and Notice of Requirement	1. General	Agree	
	2. Traffic management	Agree	
	3. River access	Agree	
	4. Public safety/ health and safety	Agree	
	5. Landscape and rehabilitation	Agree except 5.1(d)	CPW prefers 'practicable' to 'possible'
	6. Noise and vibration	Agree	
	7. Affected properties	Agree	
	8. Terrestrial ecology	Agree	
	9. Dust	Agree in principle	ECan's proposed dust management conditions have been recently updated, and it would be best if SDC's version was identical, possibly with modifications as suggested in officer's report
	10. Waste management and hazardous substances	Agree	
	11. Cultural impacts	Agree, but note comment on 11.1	Accidental Discovery Protocol. CPW agrees with officer's comment that this should be amalgamated with ECan's so they are identical
	12. Heritage	Agree except 12.1	CPW questions the need for the second paragraph in 12.1 ('The Section 18 investigations ...')
	13. Utilities	Agree	
	14. Bonding	Agree, but with comment	The detail of the bond should be modified to reflect the removal of the dam and associated works from the scheme proposal. CPW will develop a modified proposal before the 24 March hearing.