

**297th MEETING OF THE
CANTERBURY REGIONAL COUNCIL**

COUNCIL MEETING

**TO THE CHAIRMAN AND COUNCILLORS OF THE
CANTERBURY REGIONAL COUNCIL**

MEMBERSHIP OF THE COUNCIL

Cr T K Burke (Chairman)

Cr R A Budd	Cr A G Neill
Cr A S Carroll	Cr M E Oldfield
Cr E H Cunningham	Cr J F Slee
Cr R H M Johnston	Cr N J Wagner
Cr R M Kirk	Cr J M Waters
Cr R I R Little	Cr W E Woods
Cr A R McKay	

A meeting of the Council will be held on

Wednesday, 30 May 2007 at 9.00 a.m.

VENUE: Council Chamber
First Floor
Environment Canterbury
58 Kilmore Street
Christchurch

BUSINESS: As per Order Paper attached.

Wayne Thomas
ACTING CHIEF EXECUTIVE

**RECOMMENDATIONS IN REPORTS ARE NOT TO BE TAKEN AS COUNCIL
POLICY UNTIL ADOPTED BY THE COUNCIL**

COMPLIANCE WITH LOCAL GOVERNMENT ACT 2002 DECISION-MAKING REQUIREMENTS

Except as below, a statement of compliance and a completed decision checklist is required for any agenda item on a council committee or the council recommending that a decision be made. This will be the responsibility of the person signing off the agenda item.

The compliance statement and checklist will not be used for:

- Recommendations that information be received or that the Council make a decision.
- Decisions taken under the Resource Management Act 1991 or the Biosecurity Act 1993 in relation to resource consents, decisions required when following the procedures set out in Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991, other permissions, submissions on plans, or references to the Environment Court.
- Decisions taken to proceed with enforcement procedures under various primary or secondary legislation or regulations, including procedures under the Resource Management Act 1991, the Biosecurity Act 1993, the Local Government Act 2002, and Environment Canterbury Bylaws.
- Administrative and personnel decisions that are entirely internal to Environment Canterbury.
- Other decisions where the procedures to be followed are set out in Legislation.

COMPLIANCE STATEMENT

The council committee (or the council) must formally certify that:

- It is satisfied that it has sufficient information about the options and their benefits and costs, in terms of the region's social, economic, environmental and cultural well-being and the effects on community outcomes, bearing in mind the significance of the decisions.
- It is satisfied that it knows enough about and has given adequate consideration to the views and preferences of affected and interested parties bearing in mind the significance of the decision.

INFORMATION CHECKLIST

(a)	A Statement of the Proposed Decision
(b)	A Statement of the Objective of the Proposed Decision and the Issue or Problem being addressed
(c)	A list of all reasonably practicable options, (including doing nothing).
(d)	For each option in (c): An evaluation of the Benefits and Costs, in terms of the region's social, economic, environmental and cultural well-being.
(e)	For each option in (c): A statement of the extent to which community outcomes would be promoted or achieved in an integrated and efficient manner.
(f)	For each option in (c): A statement of the Impact, if any, on Environment Canterbury's capacity to undertake its statutory responsibilities
(g)	If the Proposed Decision is a significant decision in relation to land or a body of water, a statement of how Maori values have been taken into account
(h)	A Statement of significant inconsistencies, if any, with any Existing Policy, Plan or Legislation arising from the Proposed Decision.
(i)	A statement how the views and preferences of affected or interested persons have been given adequate consideration during the definition of the problem or issue, the objective, the assessment of options and the development of the proposed decision, including the particular contribution of Maori to the decision-making process.

Notes:

The significance of proposals and decisions determines how much time, money and effort is put into exploring and evaluating options and obtaining the views of affected and interested parties. The significance of proposals and decisions is determined through reference to criteria contained in the policy on significance.

The policy on significance together with Section 76 of the Local Government Act 2002 set out the Council's requirements in relation to decisions. Some decisions can only be made through the Long-Term Council Community Plan, or after the Special Consultative Procedures set out in the Act have been used, (refer to the policy on significance and the Act).

All decisions of Environment Canterbury are subject to the decision-making requirements of section 76 of the Act unless inconsistent with specific requirements of other legislation.

**CANTERBURY REGIONAL COUNCIL
COUNCIL MEETING
ORDER PAPER**

1. APOLOGIES – CR SLEE (Leave of Absence)

MATTERS FOR COUNCIL DECISION

2. SUBMISSIONS AND RESPONSES TO THE DRAFT 2007/08 ANNUAL PLAN
3. NEXT MEETING – 31 MAY 2007
4. CLOSURE

AGENDA ITEM NO: 2	SUBJECT MATTER: SUBMISSIONS AND RESPONSES TO THE DRAFT 2007/08 ANNUAL PLAN
REPORT: Council	DATE OF MEETING: 30 May 2007
FILE REFERENCES:	PORTFOLIO: All PROJECT: OUTPUT:
REPORT BY: Neil Pilbrow Portfolio Manager Finance and Corporate Services	ENDORSED BY: Wayne Thomas Director Finance and Corporate Services

PURPOSE

- To consider written and verbal submissions to the Draft 2007/08 Annual Plan.
- To make decisions on amendments to the Draft 2007/08 Annual Plan and Project Levels of Service and Funding for 2007/08.

ATTACHMENTS

There are no attachments, however Councillors are requested to bring the following previously distributed papers to the meeting:

- Public Submissions to the Draft Annual Plan 2007/08.
- Summarised Submissions and Responses to the Draft Annual Plan 2007/08 and Memo of 16 May 2007

The following papers will be tabled at the meeting to assist in the consideration on submissions:

- Notes from submission hearings.
- A spreadsheet showing the impact of submissions on the draft budget.
- A summary of amendments to the Draft 2007/08 Annual Plan and Project Levels of Service and Funding for 2007/08.

BACKGROUND

Written submissions, including late submissions, have been previously distributed to Councillors. A summary of submissions with the Chief Executive's responses recommendations has also been previously distributed.

The Council heard verbal submissions in Timaru on 22 May and in Christchurch on 23 May, 2007.

SUBMISSIONS

Eighty-three submissions were received, including five that were received after the closing date. Thirty-five submitters requested to be heard. The Council will need to resolve whether or not to accept the late submissions for consideration.

Late submissions

Submitter No.	Name
L79	Peter Wakeman
L80	Robert A Robinson
L81	Kaikoura Pest Management Liaison Committee
L82	Fendalton/Waimairi Community Board
L83	Dairy Holdings Limited
L84	Shirley Papanui Community Board

In addition, re Submission 53, North Bank Land Owners Group, Sandra Cameron has advised that this should be treated as her own personal submission, and not as a submission on behalf of the group.

The next steps will be adopting the final 2007/08 Annual Plan on 20 June and striking the rates on 28 June 2007.

RECOMMENDATIONS

- (a) *That the Council accepts the late public submissions.*
- (b) *That the Summarised Submissions and Responses to the Draft 2007/08 Annual Plan be received.*
- (c) *That recommendations on amendments to the Draft 2007/08 Annual Plan and Project Levels of Service and Funding for 2007/08 be adopted.*