

**MINUTES OF THE 243RD MEETING OF ENVIRONMENT CANTERBURY HELD IN THE
COUNCIL CHAMBER, 58 KILMORE STREET, CHRISTCHURCH ON THURSDAY, 27 MAY
2004 AT 9.30 A.M.**

CONTENTS

1. APOLOGIES
2. MINUTES OF MEETINGS – 29 APRIL 2004
3. MATTERS ARISING
4. DEPUTATIONS AND PETITIONS
5. TARGET PEST ENTERPRISES LIMITED – HALF YEARLY REPORT TO THE SHAREHOLDER
6. TARGET PEST ENTERPRISES LIMITED ACTIVITIES (PUBLIC EXCLUDED)
7. NON-STATUTORY MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR THE AVON-HEATHCOTE ESTUARY AND ITS CATCHMENTS – AVON-HEATHCOTE ESTUARY IHUTAI TRUST
8. REGIONAL LAND TRANSPORT COMMITTEE MEMBERSHIP AND TERMS OF REFERENCE
9. WARRANTING ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS
10. PATRONAGE CREDITS AND PROPOSED METRO CROSS SUBURBAN SERVICE
11. INFORMATION ITEMS
12. FINANCIAL REPORTS ON COUNCIL PORTFOLIO ACTIVITIES
13. REPORT OF REGIONAL PLANNING COMMITTEE – 13 MAY 2004
14. REPORT OF REGIONAL PLANNING COMMITTEE – 20 MAY 2004
15. COUNCILLOR REPORTS OTHER COMMITTEES/CONFERENCES/SEMINARS
16. NOTICES OF MOTION
17. QUESTIONS
18. EXTRAORDINARY AND URGENT BUSINESS
19. MEETING WITH PUBLIC EXCLUDED
20. NEXT MEETING – 24 JUNE 2004
21. CLOSURE

PRESENT

Crs R B Johnson (Chairman), R A Budd, T K Burke, V M Campbell, R I R Little, A R McKay, A G Neill, M E Oldfield, W S Penno, I A Robertson, D R Shand, N J Wagner and J M Waters.

MANAGEMENT AND STAFF PRESENT

Dr B R Jenkins (Chief Executive), W Falconer (Director External Relations), M C Freeman (Director Regulation), J D Talbot (Director Policy and Planning), I W Thomas (Director Services and Portfolio Management) and P C Berry (Manager Secretariat).

1. APOLOGIES

Cr Johnston.

2. MINUTES

Moved Cr Oldfield, seconded Cr Penno.

That the minutes of the 242nd meeting held on 29 April, be confirmed as a true and accurate record.

CARRIED

3. MATTERS ARISING

Nil.

4. DEPUTATIONS AND PETITIONS

Received later in the meeting.

5. TARGET PEST ENTERPRISES LIMITED – HALF YEARLY REPORT TO THE SHAREHOLDER

Cr Waters arrived at 9.45 a.m.

Martin Hadlee, Chairman, Target Pest Enterprises Board of Directors presented the half yearly report.

Discussion on this item mainly centred on the reasons for the extent of the loss caused by late monitoring required on jobs done in the previous financial year.

Concerns were expressed about the changes to the Animal Health Board's monitoring regime meaning that monitoring was no longer required to be done immediately after completion of jobs resulting in an abnormally large amount of rework.

Moved Cr Budd, seconded Cr Little.

That the report on the operation of Target Pest Enterprises Limited during the first six months of the 2003/2004 financial year be received.

CARRIED

6. MEETING WITH PUBLIC EXCLUDED

Moved Cr Johnson, seconded Cr Budd.

That the public be excluded from the following part of the proceedings of this meeting, namely:

Briefing and Discussion on Activities of Target Pest Enterprises Limited

The general subject of the matter to be considered while the public is excluded, the reason for passing this resolution, and the specific grounds under section 48(1) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 for the passing of this resolution are as follows:

General subject of each matter to be considered	Reason for passing this resolution in relation to each matter	Ground(s) under section 48(1) for the passing of this resolution
<i>Briefing of councillors and discussion with Target Pest directors and other company representatives on the activities of the company.</i>	<i>That good reason exists for not discussing the matter with the public present and is not outweighed by the public interest.</i>	<i>Section 48(1)(a)</i>

This resolution is made in reliance on Section 48(1) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 and the particular interests protected by Section 7 of that Act which would be prejudiced by the holding of the relevant part of the proceedings of the meeting in public.

- (a) Protect information where the making available of the information would be likely unreasonably to prejudice the commercial position of the person who supplied or who is the subject of the information - s7(2)(b)(ii)*
- (b) Protect information which is subject to an obligation of confidence where the making available of the information would be likely to prejudice the supply of similar information, or information from the same source, and it is in the public interest that such information should continue to be supplied - s7(2)(c)*

The Chairman will also move that (Martin Hadlee, David Caygill, Paul Ash, Kelvin Reid, David Soal) be permitted to remain at this meeting, after the public has been excluded, because of their knowledge of the activities of Target Pest Enterprises Limited. This knowledge, which will be of assistance in relation to the matter to be discussed, is relevant to that matter because it is complete and accurate and will promote a well-informed discussion between the Council, as the company's shareholder, and the company's representatives.

CARRIED

Moved Cr Johnson, seconded Cr Shand.

That the meeting resume in Open Meeting.

CARRIED

Morning tea was taken 10.50 a.m. – 11.15 a.m.

4. DEPUTATIONS AND PETITIONS

A deputation from the Coringa Golf Club was presented by David McCahon (President) and David McMahan (Consultant).

The deputation detailed the plans the club had progressed to a concept stage to develop recreation and associated accommodation activities in McLeans Island recreation area. The Club was seeking to obtain a variation to the current lease to enable the proposal to be further developed.

An outline of the future recreation facilities was detailed. The accommodation facility had a direct relationship with the established recreation facility, would be limited in scale and size and would provide solely for dormitory short-term accommodation for visiting, including overseas golfers.

The Club acknowledged the flood risk, but considered the risk was very light and limited the nature as one of property damage rather than risk to life. The Club also advised they would take the risk responsibilities by including indemnity clauses in the lease in respect to property damage from floodwaters.

7. NON-STATUTORY MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR THE AVON-HEATHCOTE ESTUARY AND ITS CATCHMENTS – AVON-HEATHCOTE ESTUARY IHUTAI TRUST

Moved Cr Budd, seconded Cr Campbell.

That the Council approve for submission to the Avon-Heathcote Estuary Ihutai Trust the comments and feedback from Environment Canterbury staff on the draft Ihutai Management Plan.

CARRIED

8. REGIONAL LAND TRANSPORT COMMITTEE MEMBERSHIP AND TERMS OF REFERENCE

Moved Cr Waters, seconded Cr Little.

That the Council adopt the Terms of Reference of the Regional Land Transport Committee, and appoint the new membership of the Regional Land Transport Committee as described in the report.

CARRIED

9. WARRANTING ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS

Moved Cr Budd, seconded Cr Little.

That under Sections 22, 332(1), 332(2) and 333 of the Resource Management Act 1991 the following contaminated sites officers be appointed as enforcement officers to enable them to carry out their duties relating to the investigation and management of contaminated sites.

*Davina Leah McNickel
Vincent Salomon*

CARRIED

10. PATRONAGE CREDITS AND PROPOSED METRO CROSS SUBURBAN SERVICE

Moved Cr Shand, seconded Cr Waters.

- (a) *The Council approves the programme for the use of our patronage funding credits by 30 June 2004 and the commitment to provide funding from the passenger transport reserve in 2004/05 for the completion of the programme, and authorises the necessary expenditure to implement, subject to confirmation by Transfund.*
- (b) *That the consultation for the proposed cross suburban service proceed with a report on the outcome presented to Environment Canterbury for adoption at the full Council meeting timed for 26 August 2004.*
- (c) *That the Council considers at its meeting on 24 June 2004 making financial provision for the new cross suburban service in the Long-Term Council Community Plan commencing 2004/2005.*

CARRIED

11. INFORMATION ITEMS

Moved Cr Wagner, seconded Cr Campbell.

That the information items be received.

CARRIED

12. FINANCIAL REPORTS ON COUNCIL PORTFOLIO ACTIVITIES

Cr Neill drew attention to a radio Newstalk ZB news item that morning that the Council was running at a financial deficit of \$2.4m. Advice was given that this was broadcast in error and the Council was actually running a surplus of \$2.4m. The error had been pointed out to the radio station.

Moved Cr McKay, seconded Cr Budd.

That the information on the financial reports for the period ending 30 April 2004 be received.

CARRIED

Lunch was taken 12.50 p.m. to 1.35 p.m.

13. REPORT OF REGIONAL PLANNING COMMITTEE

Moved Cr Campbell, seconded Cr Penno.

That the report of the Regional Planning Committee held on 13 May 2004 be received and the matters for Council decision be approved.

CARRIED

MATTERS RECOMMENDED TO THE COUNCIL

13.1 ASHLEY RIVER MINIMUM FLOWS

Resolved

That the Regional Planning Committee approve in principle the following flow regime and water allocation provisions for inclusion into Schedule WQN1:

- (a) *That the minimum flow regime for the mainstem of the Ashley River /Rakahuri, measured at the Ashley Gorge water level recorder site be:*

*2.5m³/s January to July
4.0m³/s August to November
3.0m³/s December*

- (b) *That the water allocation regime for the mainstem of the Ashley River/ Rakahuri be:*

(i) *An A allocation block that comprises water permits for surface takes and stream depleting groundwater takes granted prior to 1 January 2002. All takes to have 2.5m³/s as their minimum flow. No new water takes are to be granted within this block, and where any water permits are partially or completely relinquished, the water is not to be re-allocated.*

(ii) *A B allocation block of 300l/s having a minimum flow of 3.2m³/s measured at the Ashley Gorge recorder site, with all permits having the same minimum flow.*

(iii) *A C allocation block of 2.0m³/s having a minimum flow of 6.0m³/s measured at the Ashley Gorge recorder site, with all permits having the same minimum flow.*

- (c) *That the minimum flow regime for the Ashley River /Rakahuri lower catchment tributaries be as set out in the table below:*

Tributary	Flow in litres/second (l/s)
<i>Waikuku Stream at Beach Road</i>	<i>100l/s Monday – Friday 150 l/s Saturday/Sunday</i>
<i>Taranaki Creek at Kaiapohia Monument</i>	<i>120 l/s</i>
<i>Little Ashley at SH1</i>	<i>50 l/s except that for four days per calendar month, the minimum flow shall be 30l/s to allow consent number CRC971123 to be exercised to divert water into a storage pond. The consent holder is to provide written advice of the exercise of the consent, to be received by Environment Canterbury not less than three days in advance of each time the consent is exercised. This advice is to specify the dates that the consent will be exercised. For all other consents, and at any other time, the minimum flow shall be 50l/s</i>
<i>Saltwatwer Creek at Toppings Road</i>	<i>100l/s</i>

- (d) *That the lower catchment tributaries water allocation be as set out in the table below.*

Tributary	Size of A block
<i>Waikuku Stream</i>	<i>Total 460</i>
<i>Taranaki Creek</i>	<i>Total 61</i>
<i>Little Ashley</i>	<i>Total 172</i>
<i>Saltwater Creek</i>	<i>Total 408</i>

13.2 STOCK ACCESS TO WATER

Resolved

That Option 2 of NRRP, Chapter 4 Water Quality; Stock Access to Streams in Inland Rivers be adopted in principle including:

- *Amendment to the wording of Policies WQL4 and 9.*
- *A new rule added to control the use of land which may affect ground and surface water quality in an inland basin.*
- *Consequential amendments to regional rule WQL19.*

13.3 WETLANDS ASSESSMENT PROTOCOL

Resolved

That Council:

- (a) *adopt the protocol for undertaking wetland assessments, and;*
- (b) *set a target of five years for the completion of a regional inventory of significance and moderately significant wetlands;*
- (c) *review both the success of the protocol and progress towards the inventory target at least annually.*

14. REPORT OF REGIONAL PLANNING COMMITTEE – 20 MAY 2004

14.1 DEPUTATION

MATTERS RECOMMENDED TO THE COUNCIL

14.2 ASHBURTON RIVER/HAKATERE WATER MANAGEMENT REGIME

Moved Cr Campbell, seconded Cr Waters.

That the Council adopts in principle the following recommendations:

- (a) *That the following purposes of management be included in the explanation to the Ashburton River/Hakaterere flow and allocation regime in Schedule WQN1:*

Ashburton/Hakatere River flow and allocation regime:

The purposes of management for the Ashburton/Hakatere River are:

1. To protect and maintain the mauri of the Ashburton/Hakatere River.
2. To maintain its natural character and high degree of naturalness above the lower gorge of the south branch of the Ashburton/Hakatere River by maintaining natural flows.
3. To maintain its natural character throughout the entire river by maintaining minimum flows and flow variability.
4. To maintain its life supporting capacity for salmon and trout, by maintaining adequate flows on the mainstem, on the north and south branches, Taylors Stream, Bowyers Stream, O'Sheas Creek, Mt Harding Stream and Lagmhor Creek.
5. To maintain its life supporting capacity for indigenous species by maintaining adequate flows on the north and south branches, Taylors Stream, Bowyers Stream, O'Sheas Creek, Mt Harding Stream, Lagmhor Creek and Pudding Hill Stream.
6. To provide for the taking of water for out of stream use providing sufficient water is retained instream to satisfy purposes (1) to (5).

- (b) That the following minimum flows be established for the Ashburton/Hakatere River and tributaries:

Minimum flows		m ³ /s
RDR intake		To be recalculated, but to correlate with 2.2 at Valetta.
Taylors/Bowyers		0.5
Lagmhor Creek		0.1
Pudding Hill Stream		0.08
Mt Harding Stream		0.5
O'Shea's Creek		0.45
North Branch (at the confluence)		1.0
Mainstem @ SH1	Nov – April	6.0
	May - Oct	5.0

- (c) That water user groups be promoted to help sharing as flows approach the minimum flow in each minimum flow area, with a fall back to pro rata sharing. Further provide for small freshes to be left instream as a condition of consent where this is practical.
- (d) That the allocation limit be set at 11.8 cumecs. This would be identified as the A allocation block. No more water would be allocated from this block unless the overall use diminishes over time to less than this amount.

- (e) *The transfer of stock water be allowed but this should be limited by reducing the amount that is to be transferred by 20%, in order to maintain allocated amounts within the allocation block limit and therefore maintain a reasonable reliability of supply. Therefore, in the Ashburton catchment, 20% of any amount that is freed up for transfer shall be surrendered.*
- (f) *That a higher minimum flow of 14 cumecs at SH1 (similar to the MALF) be set for B permits. This would be applied as the minimum flow for any additional water permits from anywhere in the catchment. These would be treated as B permits but there is no cap. Provision should be made to allow dams, diversion and takes for enhancement that will improve instream inflows to be treated separate to this rule on the basis that the existing minimum flow will be enhanced by this initiative.*
- (g) *The provisions for phased restriction of stockwater takes from the Ashburton River that was included in the Draft NRRP be retained, i.e. that a 10% reduction in stockwater allocated from the Ashburton Catchment be required annually from the year following the commencement of the operative plan until an 80% saving has been achieved, during times of minimum flow.*
- (h) *That Environment Canterbury staff be directed to develop a strategy for river environment enhancement that can address concerns raised by the Tangata Whenua values report, and raised in relation to the adequacy of flows in providing fish passage and habitat, by others.*
- (i) *That consent conditions for existing irrigation takes be reviewed to align with the timing of transitional provisions of the river plan.*
- (j) *That Environment Canterbury work with the Ashburton District Council and the community to facilitate augmentation and/or storage of the Ashburton River system and consider amending the proposed plan to take account of that augmentation and/or storage.*

An amendment was moved Cr Budd, seconded Cr Waters.

That (h) be altered to read –

- (h) *That Environment Canterbury staff be directed to develop a strategy for river environment enhancement that can address concerns raised by the Tangata Whenua values report, and raised by others in relation to the adequacy of flows in providing fish passage and habitat and managing streambed habitat to benefit endangered bird species.*

CARRIED

An amendment was moved Cr McKay, seconded Cr Little.

That (g) be altered to read –

- (g) *The provisions for phased restriction of stockwater takes from the Ashburton River that was included in the Draft NRRP be retained, i.e. that a 10% reduction in stockwater allocated from the Ashburton Catchment be required annually from the year following the commencement of the operative plan until an 80% saving has been*

achieved or mitigated by the Ashburton District Council/community by way of augmenting the flow to retain the present reliability of stockwater, during times of minimum flow.

CARRIED

The following substantive motion was PUT and CARRIED.

- (a) That the following purposes of management be included in the explanation to the Ashburton River/Hakatere flow and allocation regime in Schedule WQN1:

Ashburton/Hakatere River flow and allocation regime:

The purposes of management for the Ashburton/Hakatere River are:

1. To protect and maintain the mauri of the Ashburton/Hakatere River.
2. To maintain its natural character and high degree of naturalness above the lower gorge of the south branch of the Ashburton/Hakatere River by maintaining natural flows.
3. To maintain its natural character throughout the entire river by maintaining minimum flows and flow variability.
4. To maintain its life supporting capacity for salmon and trout, by maintaining adequate flows on the mainstem, on the north and south branches, Taylors Stream, Bowyers Stream, O'Sheas Creek, Mt Harding Stream and Lagmhor Creek.
5. To maintain its life supporting capacity for indigenous species by maintaining adequate flows on the north and south branches, Taylors Stream, Bowyers Stream, O'Sheas Creek, Mt Harding Stream, Lagmhor Creek and Pudding Hill Stream.
6. To provide for the taking of water for out of stream use providing sufficient water is retained instream to satisfy purposes (1) to (5).

- (b) That the following minimum flows be established for the Ashburton/Hakatere River and tributaries:

Minimum flows	m^3/s	
RDR intake	To be recalculated, but to correlate with 2.2 at Valetta.	
Taylors/Bowyers	0.5	
Lagmhor Creek	0.1	
Pudding Hill Stream	0.08	
Mt Harding Stream	0.5	
O'Shea's Creek	0.45	
North Branch (at the confluence)	1.0	
Mainstem @ SH1	Nov – April	6.0
	May - Oct	5.0

- (c) *That water user groups be promoted to help sharing as flows approach the minimum flow in each minimum flow area, with a fall back to pro rata sharing. Further provide for small freshes to be left instream as a condition of consent where this is practical.*
- (d) *That the allocation limit be set at 11.8 cumecs. This would be identified as the A allocation block. No more water would be allocated from this block unless the overall use diminishes over time to less than this amount.*
- (e) *The transfer of stock water be allowed but this should be limited by reducing the amount that is to be transferred by 20%, in order to maintain allocated amounts within the allocation block limit and therefore maintain a reasonable reliability of supply. Therefore, in the Ashburton catchment, 20% of any amount that is freed up for transfer shall be surrendered.*
- (f) *That a higher minimum flow of 14 cumecs at SH1 (similar to the MALF) be set for B permits. This would be applied as the minimum flow for any additional water permits from anywhere in the catchment. These would be treated as B permits but there is no cap. Provision should be made to allow dams, diversion and takes for enhancement that will improve instream inflows to be treated separate to this rule on the basis that the existing minimum flow will be enhanced by this initiative.*
- (g) *The provisions for phased restriction of stockwater takes from the Ashburton River that was included in the Draft NRRP be retained, i.e. that a 10% reduction in stockwater allocated from the Ashburton Catchment be required annually from the year following the commencement of the operative plan until an 80% saving has been achieved or mitigated by the Ashburton District Council/community by way of augmenting the flow to retain the present reliability of stockwater, during times of minimum flow.*
- (h) *That Environment Canterbury staff be directed to develop a strategy for river environment enhancement that can address concerns raised by the Tangata Whenua values report, and raised by others in relation to the adequacy of flows in providing fish passage and habitat and managing streambed habitat to benefit endangered bird species.*
- (i) *That consent conditions for existing irrigation takes be reviewed to align with the timing of transitional provisions of the river plan.*
- (j) *That Environment Canterbury work with the Ashburton District Council and the community to facilitate augmentation and/or storage of the Ashburton River system and consider amending the proposed plan to take account of that augmentation and/or storage.*

3. APPROVAL OF VARIATION 1 OF THE PROPOSED NATURAL RESOURCES REGIONAL PLAN

The following information was tabled –

- Scheme WQN9: Seasonal irrigation demand standards and map of plant water demand conditions throughout Canterbury.
- Schedule WQN15: Flow-sensitive catchments where limits will be applied to the planting of new areas of plantation forest as a permitted activity.

Moved Cr Campbell, seconded Cr Penno.

That the Regional Planning Committee, having prepared:

Chapter 4 Water quality

Chapter 5 Water quantity

Chapter 6 Beds and margins of lakes and rivers

Chapter 7 Wetlands

Chapter 8 Soil Conservation

in accordance with the requirements of the Resource Management Act, and providing for minor editing still to be done, recommend that:

- (a) Council adopt Chapters 4 to 8, subject to any amendments made by the Regional Planning Committee;*
- (b) Council adopt the Section 32 reports for Chapters 4 to 8; and*
- (c) In accordance with Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991, Council publicly notifies Chapters 4 to 8 as Variation 1 to the Proposed Natural Resources Regional Plan, with a four-month submission period.*

Motion (a) and (c) were PUT and CARRIED.

Motion (b) was PUT and CARRIED (Crs Little and Robertson requested their votes against motion (b) be recorded).

Moved Cr Campbell, seconded Cr Penno.

That this Council recognises the lengthy process of creating the Natural Resources Plan and this part of it which largely refers to water and soil conservation and the number of staff who have been involved over the years in doing the technical and planning work, the Council thanks all those staff for their work and their dedication.

CARRIED

Council noted the decisions made today were very significant. The work was not complete and it would be the Canterbury public who would decide the policies through the submission process. The Canterbury public had been waiting long for this plan.

It was proposed that the plan would be publicly notified in early July 2004 and there would be a four-month submission period.

The council would be taking a road show around the region to explain details of the plan to the public.

Chief Executive advised from comments he had received, the Canterbury Plan was setting a standard for the rest of the country. The task was a substantial one and we should recognise this.

15. COUNCILLOR REPORTS OTHER COMMITTEES/CONFERENCES/SEMINARS

Councillors reported as follows:

- Cr Waters attendance at a Council of Social Services meeting.
- Cr McKay on a meeting of the Water Resources Committee held on 1 May 2004. A diagram considered by the Committee was presented by the Chief Executive. The diagram set out an overall sustainable natural resources model for Stage II of the Strategic Water Study.

The model also set out examples of participants in the process. What was particularly important coming out of the Local Government Act was the need for a range of agencies to come together to deliver on community outcomes.

- Cr Shand on a meeting of the Urban Development Strategy forum, also attended by Cr Neill and Cr Little on 26 May. Cr Shand advised that information given to people does enable them to make educated responses. A number of stakeholders had attended the meeting.
- Cr Shand attendance at Central City Working Party looking at transportation and public transport in the central city.
- Cr Budd attendance at a NIWA Animal Ethics Committee. Two matters of interest arose – development of on-shore marine farming of kingfish in Northland and research carried out on the effects of 1080 effects on streams.
- Councillor Little attendance at the Federated Farmer (North Canterbury) annual conference. An interesting observation was the concern of Federated Farmers on the threat to rural councils of the Local Government Commission requirements.
- Cr Shand attendance at a Bio-diversity Summit Forum on 21 May 2004, also attended by a number of regional councils. Chief Executive highlight of one point was the major issue in terms of the bio-diversity strategy and whether a regulatory style or a more co-operative style should be developed.
- Cr Johnson attendance at the Waitangi Natural Trust also attended by the Chief Executive and Cr Wagner.

16. NOTICES OF MOTION

Nil.

17. QUESTIONS

Nil.

18. EXTRAORDINARY AND URGENT BUSINESS

Nil.

19. MEETING WITH PUBLIC EXCLUDED

Moved Cr Johnson, seconded Cr Shand.

That the public be excluded from the following part of the proceedings of this meeting, namely:

- (a) *Proposed Coringa Country Club Development*
- (b) *Public Excluded Minutes, 29 April 2004*

The general subject of the matter to be considered while the public is excluded, the reason for passing this resolution, and the specific grounds under section 48(1) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 for the passing of this resolution are as follows:

General subject of each matter to be considered	Reason for passing this resolution in relation to each matter	Ground(s) under section 48(1) for the passing of this resolution
--	--	---

- | | | |
|--|--|------------------|
| (a) <i>Consideration of Coringa Country Club Development</i> | <i>That good reason exists for not discussing the matter with the public present and is not outweighed by the public interest.</i> | Section 48(1)(a) |
| (b) <i>Adoption of Public Excluded Minutes.</i> | <i>That good reason exists for not discussing the matter with the public present and is not outweighed by the public interest.</i> | Section 48(1)(d) |

This resolution is made in reliance on Section 48(1) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 and the particular interests protected by Section 7 of that Act which would be prejudiced by the holding of the relevant part of the proceedings of the meeting in public are as follows:

- (a) *Enable any local authority holding the information to carry on without prejudice or disadvantage, negotiations. (Section 7(2)(i)).*

CARRIED

Moved Cr Penno, seconded Cr Waters.

That the Council resume in Open Meeting.

CARRIED

20. NEXT MEETING – 24 JUNE 2004

Leave of absence were granted as follows:

- Cr Burke 8 – 20 June
- Cr Wagner 8 – 23 June

21. CLOSURE

The meeting closed at 4.40 p.m.

CONFIRMED

DATE _____ CHAIRPERSON _____