

029

SUBMITTER NO. 029

**Submission on the Draft  
Canterbury Regional Land  
Transport Strategy 2012-2042  
(Draft RLTS)**

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	
Submitter ID:	FILE REF: EC-0100
File No:	DOCUMENT No: 73774
26 SEP 2011	
EC1696P3	
EC264010	

Return your signed submission by 4.00pm, 23 September 2011 to:

Freepost 1201  
RLTS Submission  
Environment Canterbury  
P O Box 345  
Christchurch

OR: rlts@ecan.govt.nz

**A**

Full Name: Leonard & Rowan McClimont Phone (Home): 03 3039707

Organisation\*: \_\_\_\_\_ Phone (Work): \_\_\_\_\_  
\* if the submission is from an organisation, not an individual

Postal Address: P O Box 24 Phone (Cell): \_\_\_\_\_  
MT SOMERS. Postcode: 7746

Email: \_\_\_\_\_ Fax: \_\_\_\_\_

Contact name and postal address for person making submission (if different from above):  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: L.J. McClimont R.R. McClimont Date: 20.9.2011.  
(Signature of person making submission or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making the submission)

Please note:  
 (1) all information contained in this submission will be publicly available.

**B**  I do not wish to be heard in person at a public hearing in support of my submission; OR  
 I do wish to be heard in person at a public hearing in support of my submission.

We have no intention of riding bicycles!

<p><b>C</b> (1) The specific provisions of the Draft RLTS that my submission relates to are: (Specify page number and section on which you are submitting).</p>	<p>(2) My submission is that: (State concisely whether you support or oppose each separate provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made and the reasons for your views.)</p>	<p>(3) I seek the following amendments or decisions: (Please give precise details for each comment. The more specific you can be the easier it will be for the Regional Transport Committee to understand your concerns.)</p>
---	---	---

'Car Sharing': Who, in their right mind, would think up such idiotic nonsense? Can't believe what we are reading - it must be one of the 'Young People' conned into believing the 'Global Warming' lies. We don't believe in the so-called 'Kyoto protocol' - should not have been signed for a start. It is disgraceful, planning through different methods to prevent people owning private cars, so you can control when and where people travel. You seem to want to take people's independence away - going shopping, visiting families and friends, taking part in Sporting activities - how do you expect elderly people to play Indoor Bowls, for instance, usually at night - ride their bike? Walk? We think NOT. In the case of some emergency, e.g. earthquake, floods etc, who gets the car on the Street? What about the hundreds of people who need to get to a Medical Centre or Hospital, at all different times of the day or night, especially with a sick child or other medical emergency - you wouldn't want to wait for a bus if 'THE CAR' wasn't available and Ambulances could be all busy. It would not be safe for people returning home from work, daily and in the dark, - getting off at the Bus Stop then walking the rest of the way, our Daughter included, - completely ridiculous. Must have 'Your head in the sand' if you think you have a plan for Crime Prevention through Environmental Design principles. Government aren't able to control the rapid increase in crime now, most of it due to drugs and it is getting worse. There will be more people without jobs if you decrease the use of cars, so there will be even more crime. Are the Government planning for a massive increase in benefits? They want everyone to work now, even with the shortage of jobs at present, so what happens when there are even less jobs? Go figure that one out, you smart people. We've heard a few idiotic plans over the years, but this is the most idiotic plan we have ever heard. It just leaves us shaking our heads in disbelief. You seem to be sneaking this plan through and hoping not many people will know about it. This is a waste of our precious time writing a submission - you people just do what you want anyway. This should be specific enough for the R.T. Committee to understand our concerns.