

From: [Jason Pene](#)
To: [Sean Mooney](#)
Cc: [Andrea Brabant](#)
Subject: HPE CM: RE: HPE CM: Application CRC185584 - Tegel Response to PDP Review of Protein Recovery Odour Management System and Update to Proposed Ventilation Upgrade
Date: Wednesday, 23 October 2019 4:25:06 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)
[image003.png](#)
[1003371-Biofilter Design Parameter Review Update Oct 2019-Update to Appendix B of PWP Response.pdf](#)

Hi Sean

The 0.9m depth and dimension details (2 beds of 25m x 40m) referred to in Appendix B of our response to PDP's review (dated 7 October 2019) were based on the original biofilter design. I've now confirmed the actual dimensions of the biofilter as it stands with Tegel and the depth is 1.2m and dimensions of the beds are 20m x 38m. Attached is an updated version of Appendix B of the response based on the dimensions.

The modifications to bed dimensions in Appendix B (smaller bed area but slightly larger bed volume) do not change conclusions of the response that the biofilter is adequately sized to treat the increased extraction flow proposed as part of the PRP ventilation upgrade.

I hope that clarifies things.

Nga Mihi | Kind regards,

Jason Pene | Senior Environmental Engineer

Tonkin + Taylor - *Exceptional thinking together*

Level 2, 105 Carlton Gore Rd, Newmarket, Auckland 1023 | PO Box 5271, Wellesley Street, Auckland 1141, New Zealand

T +6493522977 M +64210612142 www.tonkintaylor.co.nz



To send me large files you can use my [file drop](#)

From: Sean Mooney <Sean.Mooney@ecan.govt.nz>
Sent: Wednesday, 23 October 2019 1:59 PM
To: Jason Pene <JPene@tonkintaylor.co.nz>
Subject: RE: HPE CM: Application CRC185584 - Tegel Response to PDP Review of Protein Recovery Odour Management System and Update to Proposed Ventilation Upgrade

Jason,

I just had a phone call from PDP, they are finalising their review but had one point of clarity they wanted me to run past you.

In the AEE and the response you supplied below, there has been two different depths of the biofilter referred to (0.6 m and 0.9 m). Can you confirm that the correct depth of the biofilter is 0.9 metres?

Regards

Sean

From: Jason Pene <JPene@tonkintaylor.co.nz>

Sent: Tuesday, 8 October 2019 3:21 PM

To: Sean Mooney <Sean.Mooney@ecan.govt.nz>

Cc: Andrea Brabant <ABrabant@tonkintaylor.co.nz>; Robyn Marshall
<robyn.marshall@tegel.co.nz>

Subject: HPE CM: Application CRC185584 - Tegel Response to PDP Review of Protein Recovery
Odour Management System and Update to Proposed Ventilation Upgrade

Hi Sean

As discussed in relation the above consent application, please find attached a response on behalf of Tegel Foods Ltd to the review of the protein recovery plant (PRP) odour extraction and treatment system conducted by PDP, dated 12 June 2019.

Thanks for the opportunity to respond to the issues raised in the PRP review. The attached document also describes modifications to the proposed upgrade of the PRP extraction system.

Let us know if you require anything else to continue the application process or have any questions.

Nga Mihi | Kind regards,

Jason Pene | Senior Environmental Engineer

Tonkin + Taylor - *Exceptional thinking together*

Level 2, 105 Carlton Gore Rd, Newmarket, Auckland 1023 | PO Box 5271, Wellesley Street, Auckland
1141, New Zealand

T +6493522977 M +64210612142 www.tonkintaylor.co.nz



To send me large files you can use my [file drop](#)



NOTICE: This email together with any attachments is confidential, may be subject to legal privilege and may contain proprietary information, including information protected by copyright. If you are not the intended recipient, please do not copy, use or disclose the information in it, and confidentiality and privilege are not waived. If you have received this in error, please notify us immediately by return email and delete this email.

Tegel Christchurch Processing Plant Updated Biofilter Design Parameters

<u>Flows</u>	<u>Value</u>	<u>Unit</u>	<u>Comment</u>
Existing airflow	7.4	m ³ /s	2017 Active Refrigeration design report
=	26640	m ³ /hour	
Proposed airflow	12.6	m ³ /s	Updated PRP ventilation upgrade plan
=	45360	m ³ /hour	
<u>Bed Dimensions</u>			
Beds	2		
Width	20	m	
Length	38	m	
Depth	1.2	m	
Total bed area	1520	m ²	
Total bed volume	1824	m ³	
<u>Existing design parameters</u>			
Gas volume to bed area ratio	17.5	m ³ /m ² /h	Well below 50 m ³ /m ² /h recommended by ARC
EBRT	246	s	Well above 30 s recommended by EPA Victoria
<u>Proposed design parameters</u>			
Gas volume to bed area ratio	29.8	m ³ /m ² /h	Well below 50 m ³ /m ² /h recommended by ARC
EBRT	145	s	Well above 30 s recommended by EPA Victoria
<u>Guideline design parameters</u>			
TP 152 Gas volume to bed area ratio	50	m ³ /m ² /h	ARC TP 152*
Equivalent EBRT at 1m depth	72	s	ARC TP 152*
EBRT	30	s	EPA Victoria**

*Auckland Regional Council. 2002. "Assessing Discharges of Contaminants into Air - (Draft)". Technical Publication 152.

** EPA Victoria. 2017. "Selected scheduled premises prompt sheets". Publication 1659, Sheet D02
Rendering, Recommended biofilter design