

31 March 2017



Irricon Resource Solutions Limited
(Ashburton)
Attn To: Gary Rae
PO Box 584
Ashburton 7740

75 Church Street
PO Box 550
Timaru 7940
P. 03 687 7800
F. 03 687 7808
E. ecinfo@ecan.govt.nz
Customer Services
P. 0800 324 636
www.ecan.govt.nz

Dear Sir/Madam

Request for Further Information

Response required by: 21 April 2017

Record Number(s): CRC174865

Applicant Name: Adams Sawmilling Co Limited

Activity Description: Renewal of CRC980561 - to discharge of stormwater & contaminants into land

Overview

As you are aware, Emily Gray has been processing your consent application. Before she can make any recommendations on your application, further information is being sought from you under Section 92 of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA).

There are a number of options available to you which are detailed below under 'Response options' and we ask that you complete one of these options by 21 April 2017. Please note that we require this information so that we can understand any potential effects from your application. If we are unable to do this your application may have to be notified, or even declined.

Notification means that potentially affected parties and/or the general public are given the opportunity to raise their concerns or support for your proposal. This process does not guarantee your application will be granted, there is the possibility that it could be declined. For more information about notification, please go to <https://ecan.govt.nz/do-it-online/resource-consents/notifications-and-submissions/>.

Information requested

Emily has identified the following information which is needed to fully understand your proposal.

1. Potential effect on groundwater quality
 - Can you please provide some more information about the exact location of the monitoring bore on site? If it is located inside the treatment shed (as our

database shows it), why this location rather than downgradient of the infiltration basin?

- Can you please provide evidence why this monitoring bore is a good representation of the heavy metals in groundwater as a result of the discharge?
- We see there is no testing for soak hole #2, why is this?
- In appendix 11 of the supplied documents, total hydrocarbons were detected (above consented levels). Was any action taken? If so what were they? If not, please provide an explanation as to why.
- Has the integrity of the sump been tested as required by the existing conditions
- Please provide evidence that stormwater system will meet the capacity it was designed for.
- Please provide information relating to how the infiltration rates were determined.

2. Potential effect on groundwater users

- L37/0934 is a community supply bore for the Celtic Rugby Club Grounds, directly downstream of the discharge area. Can you please demonstrate why the water supply bore L37/0934 is not affected by the discharge? Alternatively, please provide written approval from the appropriate person at the Celtic Rugby Club.

3. Potential effect on surface water and tangata whenua values

- Can you please provide evidence that the stormwater discharge will not contaminate Wakanui Creek? Please consider the possibility that the water table (shallow groundwater) may interact with surface waterbodies.
- What mitigations are in place to ensure that Wakanui Creek is not adversely impacted by the activity?
- Please provide information or evidence that the activity is consistent with Canterbury Regional Council's Canterbury Water Management Strategy targets (and CRC's policy) relating to Mahinga Kai.

4. Potential effect on soil quality

- Please confirm whether boron is still used on site.

5. Stormwater Management

- In your application it was stated that "the calculation shows that the soakage pits may not be able to capture and infiltrate the 1 hour 10% AEP storm volume". Please provide further comment on whether this is the storm event the soakage pits were designed for, and if so, explain why they are unable to hold the capacity they were designed for.
- Regarding the above, if the stormwater system is unable to hold the capacity it was designed for, how will excess stormwater be managed to mitigate leaching of contaminants into groundwater?

Response options

The options available to you are set in Section 92A(1) of the RMA. You must choose one of the following:

- (a) Supply the requested information by 21 April 2017.

If the information can be easily collated and supplied by this date, please provide it in writing (via email is fine) to Emily Gray.

- (b) Agree in a written notice by 21 April 2017 to supply the information requested.

Sometimes technical information will take some time to collate or key contacts may not be immediately available. If you need a longer period of time to supply the information requested, please contact Emily Gray to advise when you think you will have the information. You can do this via email or letter.

- (c) Refuse in a written notice by 21 April 2017 to supply the requested information.

Should you chose this option, section 95C of the RMA requires us to publicly notify your application. If you receive submissions on your application then you would need to expect to go through a resource consent hearing process. You can find a charges fact sheet at the link below which will give you a guide of expected costs for this process. You should be aware that your application could be declined through this process.

<https://ecan.govt.nz/do-it-online/resource-consents/first-steps-and-costs/>.

If you chose not to respond to this letter, then the same process as for (c) applies.

If you would like to discuss any of this request in more detail, please don't hesitate to contact Emily via email at Emily.Gray@ecan.govt.nz or 03 367 7223.

Yours sincerely



Carly Cushman

Team Leader Consents Planning