

**BEFORE INDEPENDENT HEARING COMMISSIONERS APPOINTED BY CANTERBURY REGIONAL COUNCIL AND WAIMAKARIRI DISTRICT COUNCIL**

**IN THE MATTER** Of the Resource Management Act 1991 (**RMA** or **the Act**)

**AND**

**IN THE MATTER** Of the Waimakariri River Regional Plan (**WRRP**); the Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan (**LWRP**); the Proposed Plan Change 7 to the LWRP (**pPC7**) and Proposed Plan Change 2 to the WRRP (**pPC2**); the Canterbury Air Regional Plan (**CARP**) and the Waimakariri District Plan (**WDC**)

**AND**

**IN THE MATTER** Of applications to the Canterbury Regional Council by **Woodstock Quarry Limited** for various resource consents to establish and operate a hard rock quarry and a landfill (**CRC214073-CRC214077**)

**AND**

**IN THE MATTER** Of an application to the Waimakariri District Council by **Woodstock Quarry Limited** for resource consents to establish a landfill and associated earthworks at 513 Trig Road within an area currently being used as a quarry (**RC215276 / 221101189245**).

---

**EVIDENCE OF GARRY JOHN BLAY FOR THE APPLICANT IN REPLY TO  
MATTERS WHICH AROSE DURING THE HEARING**

**29 April 2024**

---

---

Presented for filing by:

**Saunders & Co**

Chris Fowler/Margo Perpick

PO Box 18, Christchurch 8140

T 021 311 784 / 027/227 2026

E [chris.fowler@saunders.co.nz](mailto:chris.fowler@saunders.co.nz) / [margo.perpick@saunders.co.nz](mailto:margo.perpick@saunders.co.nz)

## QUALIFICATIONS AND EXPERIENCE

1. My name is Garry John Blay. A full description of my qualifications and experience can be found in my Statement of Primary Evidence.
2. I have read the Environment Court's Code of Conduct and agree to comply with it. The matters addressed in my evidence are within my area of expertise. However, where I make statements on issues that are not in my area of expertise, I will state whose evidence I have relied upon. I have not omitted to consider material facts known to me that might alter or detract from the opinions expressed in my evidence. I note at this point, as discussed with Commissioner Chrystal during the hearing, that I was involved in the processing and issuing of existing District Council consents that Woodstock Quarries holds while employed by Waimakariri District Council.
3. I provide this further statement of evidence in reply to matters that have arisen following the response provided by the applicant to Minutes 18 and 19 and following responses by submitters and Canterbury Regional Council staff and consultants.
4. The submission from Cassandra Walker, Maria Lowe and Shirley Farrel raises the issue of the effect of dust on sites outside the landfill. In particular the submitters reiterate their concern that dust from quarrying will affect farmland, stock and drinking water and wildlife. However, there has been no evidence submitted to support these claims and in fact Dr Chilton has confirmed that dust will not result in adverse effects to sensitive receptors during his presentation of evidence to the Hearing Panel and questioning following that. There has also been no evidence presented from ecological experts that dust produced from the quarrying as proposed will result in adverse impacts on wildlife.
5. **The following section relates to the Section 42A report by Mr Johnston**
6. **Paragraph 10** – Mr Johnston states that the use of the word 'acceptable' in the Joint Witness Statement (JWS) indicates remaining concern by Canterbury Regional Council (CRC) and Oxford Ohoka Community Board (OOCB) experts that the doubler liner system is too complex to construct as proposed. Mr Pattle has provided specific response to this concern, providing evidence that the double liner system has been in use in the United States since the 1970's and that similar side wall liner are currently in use in New Zealand. Mr Pinkham has also confirmed construction of the proposed liner system is feasible based on his experience in landfill construction and management. The concerns raised by Mr Johnston therefore appear unfounded and contrary to available evidence.
7. **Paragraph 14** – Mr Johnston proposes that the proposed conditions relating to the construction of the liner are *ultra vires* based on his view that it is not feasible to construct the double liner system. However, based on the response evidence of Mr Pattle and Mr Pinkham this view is not supportable and therefore Mr Johnston's claim that the conditions are potentially *ultra vires* cannot stand.

8. **Condition 10(b)** – Mr Pinkham has responded to this and I defer to and accept his opinion and reasoning.
9. **Condition 13** – Mr Johnston recommends the removal of point e, being the requirement for a representative from each of CRC and Waimakariri District Council (WDC) to be invited to join the Community Liaison Group. I agree with Mr Johnston for the same reason he sets out in his report.
10. **Condition 20** – Mr Johnston seeks to have a timeframe for submittal of the Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) defined for each phase of construction stage. I take this to mean that he considers a CEMP should be submitted for every sub-stage within each stage. In my opinion this is overly onerous and will not achieve any material gains in management of environmental effects because the existing proposed requirement is for the CEMP to be submitted prior to commencement of a construction stage, which will inherently require consideration of all sub-stages within the construction stage to be taken into account.
11. **Condition 33 (34<sup>1</sup>)** – I agree that this condition needs to refer to the correct version and date of drawings, that is Issue 7 dated March 2024.
12. **Condition 43 (44)** – Mr Johnston considers ‘as-built’ drawings should be completed by a licenced survey practitioner and additional wording should be inserted into points b) and c). All of these proposed changes are acceptable.
13. **Conditions 47 and 48 (48 and 49)** – Mr Johnston proposes addition of wording to condition 47 to require identification of whether compensatory land is required, and if it is additional wording in condition 48 referring to Drawing A8 and identification of measures necessary to protect and maintain the compensatory area. I agree with Mr Johnston’s approach in principle, however, I consider the wording of bullet point 5 in conditions 47 and 48 should be amended as follows to be consistent with the changes proposed in Ms Frazer’s response in relation to the WDC condition relating to Ecological Impact Assessment:
- 48 The Ecological Impact Assessment must identify ecological values on-site and the appropriate measures to avoid or mitigate the effects on these values. The EcIA must include, but not be limited to:
- Assessment of the actual and potential adverse and/or positive effects on ecosystems, including effects on plants or animals and any physical disturbance of habitats in the vicinity;
  - Identification of areas of significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitat for indigenous fauna;
  - Surveys of indigenous fauna including lizards, birds and bats during suitable survey conditions (September to May);
  - Recommendations for the development of indigenous flora and fauna management plans, where deemed appropriate, based on surveys.

---

<sup>1</sup> Numbers in brackets are proposed consent condition numbers on the amalgamated set of conditions dated 29/4/2024. This numbering differs from the condition numbering used by Mr Johnston.

- The identification of whether there are significant adverse residual effects which require compensation. ~~compensatory land is required.~~ Any EcIA for this purpose must disregard the consented baseline and compensation measures must be designed in accordance with the NPS-IB principles for compensation (or an equivalent document).

49 *If the EcIA as required in condition 47 identifies that there are significant adverse residual effects and therefore that compensation is required, this shall be provided and located in the vicinity of the area indicated on approved plan Drawing A8.*

14. **Condition 52** – I agree that this condition is a double up and can be removed.

15. **Condition 71 (72)** – Mr Johnston seeks the addition to point (o) referring to total arsenic. I have discussed this with Dr Greer who agrees with the addition of total arsenic.

16. **Condition 77** – A condition was previously included requiring the testing of flows in the Eyre River at the surface water take point at 402 Trig Road. For the reasons set out in the response evidence of Mr Etheridge I disagree with Mr Johnston and further consider that the condition is therefore both unnecessary and unjustifiable from a resource management purpose perspective.

17. **Condition 94 (95)** – I agree that the word 'remediated' is more suitable than 'repaired'.

18. **Condition 101 (102)** – Mr Johnston seeks the insertion of an additional condition regarding the use of suitable stabilisers only. This is acceptable.

19. **Condition 105 (106)** – Mr Johnston recommends amendments to the Factors of Safety table in this condition. Mr Strayton has provided evidence in response, the outcome of which is that the applicant agrees to amend the Factor of Safety table in accordance with the table in Mr Johnston's S42A response. The amended wording of Condition 105 would therefore be as below.

*106. The analysis must adopt the following relevant factors of safety (FOS) adopted for landfill industry practice, with justification provided for any deviations from these values:*

<b><i>Design Scenario</i></b>	<b><i>Minimum FOS</i></b>	<b><i>Or Maximum Displacement Base Liner</i></b>	<b><i>Or Maximum Displacement Capping</i></b>
<b><i>Static long term</i></b>	<i>1.5</i>		
<b><i>Static short term</i></b>	<i>1.2</i>		
<b><i>Static – elevated short term leachate levels</i></b>	<i>1.2</i>		
<b><i>Static – elevated long term leachate levels</i></b>	<i>1.3</i>		
<b><i>SLS Earthquake (150 year)</i></b>	<i>1.0</i>	<i>&lt;0.3m</i>	<i>&lt;1.0m</i>

<b>ULS Earthquake (2500 year)</b>	1.0	<0.3m	<2.0m
---------------------------------------	-----	-------	-------

20. **Condition 119** – Mr Johnston considers this condition relating to instructions from the Civil Defence Officer to take waste not in compliance with consent conditions should be removed. I disagree as this condition has been proposed based on the experience of Mr Pinkham at Kate Valley Landfill where significant legal issues resulted following the requirement to take waste under instruction from a Civil Defence Controller where it may not comply with consent condition. I note that the wording of the condition as proposed is incomplete and should read as below (addition underlined):

*In the event that a civil emergency is declared by any of the territorial authorities in the Canterbury Region the Consent Holder may, on the instruction of the Civil Defence Officer and after advising the Canterbury Regional Council not be bound by conditions of this consent relating to landfill operations (with the exception of the Waste Acceptance Criteria conditions which shall continue to apply) for a period not exceeding 14 days. Within 3 working days of the end of the 14 day period the Consent Holder must advise the Canterbury Regional Council and Waimakariri District Council of the details of any activities that did not comply with consent conditions. Should the period of civil emergency extend longer than 14 days the Consent Holder shall consult with Canterbury Regional Council and Waimakariri District Council and agree a temporary change to conditions required to respond to the civil emergency.*

21. **The following responses relate to Mr Johnston's comments in response with regard to CRC214076 (Air discharge consent application).**
22. **Condition 15a** – As confirmed by Mr Chilton (air quality witness for Canterbury Regional Council) during the hearing, there is no potential adverse effect from dust on sensitive receptors due to distance from the activity to those sensitive receptors. Therefore, I consider the requirement to use water to suppress dust at all times during aggregate processing or operation of the crushing plant is not necessary or justifiable from a resource management purpose perspective.
23. **Condition 29** – The landfill will be progressively filled in a cellular fashion. A flare or flares may be required for each of these cells, or a group of cells. Therefore, prior to final capping of the landfill there may be several flares present at any time. Following final capping these flares can be concentrated into a single flare. Therefore, the reference to 'each' flare station is correct and should remain.
24. **Condition 30c** – Mr Pinkham has responded to this point and I defer to and accept his opinion and reasoning.
25. **Other matters that have arisen as a result of review of the proposed conditions are set out below.**
26. **Update of WAC to refer to WasteMinz 2023 Guidelines** – It has been noticed that the Waste Acceptance Criteria did not refer to the latest version of the Wasteminz Guidelines. This has been

addressed by amending the Waste Acceptance Criteria to refer to the Wasteminz Guidelines Revision 3.1 2023. Mr Pinkham provides description of the changes within this document in his response evidence. The amended Waste Acceptance Criteria are attached.

27. **Removal of PFAS from the Waste to be accepted** – It has been noted during the hearing that the inclusion of PFAS in the Waste Acceptance Criteria has created concern from both submitters and Canterbury Regional Council staff. It is therefore proposed to remove PFAS from the Waste Acceptance Criteria and this has been done in the attached updated version of this document. I note that conditions 159 and 160 of the amalgamated set of conditions (relating to CRC214073, CRC214075 and CRC214077) respectively require testing of leachate discharges for PFAS and for a summary of PFAS testing to be provided in the Landfill Annual Report. These two conditions are not justifiable if PFAS is not to be accepted and should therefore be removed and replaced with a single condition worded:

*The Consent Holder must undertake annual sampling and testing of the leachate for PFAS and provide a copy of the test results to the Canterbury Regional Council Attention: RMA Monitoring and Regional Leader – Compliance Manager Monitoring within 14 days of the test results becoming available.*

28. **A full set of clean copy proposed consent conditions is attached.**

# Schedule 1: Waste Acceptance Criteria (WAC)

## Woodstock Landfill

- 1 The Landfill shall accept the following wastes subject to visual inspection only:
  - a. Demolition waste that has been processed at an accredited demolition waste sorting facility with an appropriate licence from the relevant local authority **or** from a demolition site that has been subject to a Detailed Site Investigation by a Suitably Qualified and Experienced Contaminated Land Practitioner (SQEP).
  - b. Treated wood: and
  - c. Putrescible material content comprising less than five percent by weight.

Waste may include a small proportion of hazardous waste that is not detectable using standard screening procedures at either transfer stations or other waste reception facilities. Such quantities are small - generally <200 ml/t, or <200 g/tonne. It also includes site-generated process sludges in comparatively small quantities (e.g., LCS condensate, evaporator sludges, sludges from leachate treatment and sediment control facilities).
- 2 Special Wastes shall only be accepted for disposal subject to:
  - a. An approval process that requires the issuing of a Special Waste Permit for each waste type, that details its nature, composition, and source in sufficient detail to demonstrate compliance with the special waste acceptance criteria; and
  - b. A Manifest for every load of waste related to the Special Waste Permit;
  - c. Meeting the Waste Acceptance Limits for the range of compounds as detailed in the schedule of Acceptable Waste (attached)
- 3 Notwithstanding the requirements of WAC 1, Special Waste (being Solid Waste but which require special handling or testing or certification procedures), shall only comprise the following:
  - a. Any cleanfill material or soil sourced from any site on the Listed Land Use Register, or where a Hazardous Activities and Industries List activity (as defined by the Ministry for the Environment) subject to further testing.
  - b. Asbestos containing waste, which shall be handled in accordance with WasteMINZ publication "Waste industry guidelines to manage the collection, receipt, transport and disposal of asbestos waste – 2019" or any subsequent update to that publication.
  - c. Treated hazardous waste.
- 4 Special Wastes, shall only be accepted if their disposal has been pre-booked, and meeting the requirements of WAC 2. All Special Wastes shall be specifically buried on a load by load basis, and immediately covered.

- 5 The following wastes are not acceptable for disposal at the landfill:
- a. Municipal solid waste
  - b. Putrescible waste, except for the proportion contained within the wastes listed in WAC 1.
  - c. Prohibited wastes as detailed on the schedule of Prohibited Wastes (attached).
  - d. Wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) sludges and other industrial sludges
  - e. Any liquid wastes as defined by condition 6 of this consent, with the exception of landfill leachate, site generated sludges, and landfill gas condensate;
  - f. Wastes or substances classified as explosive, flammable, oxidising or corrosive under the Hazardous Substances and New Organisms Act 1996.
  - g. Medical waste.

6 The definition of liquid waste shall be any waste that has a solids content of less than 20 percent, except such waste that passes the Paint Filter Liquids Test (EPA Method 9095A)

7 The Consent Holder shall maintain a record of:

- a. The quantities and types of waste accepted at the Landfill; and
- b. The actual location of the disposal of any special and odorous wastes.

A copy of this record shall be forwarded to the Canterbury Regional Council by 31 August each year, unless otherwise agreed in writing by the Canterbury Regional Council.

8 To minimise the potential for non-compliant waste to be disposed of at the Landfill, the following measures shall be taken:

- a. A notice shall be clearly positioned at the Landfill entrance to identify wastes which are not accepted at the Landfill; and
- b. Random inspections of incoming loads for the presence of hazardous waste shall be undertaken; and
- c. The delivery of material onto the site shall be supervised by the consent holder or their representative at all times; and
- d. Each waste generator delivering waste to the landfill site shall sign a written declaration or formal agreement with the consent holder that the deposited material meets the acceptance criteria specified in WAC 1 to 3 of this consent. These records shall be held at the landfill site and shall be provided to the Canterbury Regional Council on request.



9 The Consent Holder shall immediately notify the Canterbury Regional Council if any vehicle(s) is turned away from the Landfill with waste that does not comply with the waste acceptance criteria detailed in WAC 1 to 5 above. This notification shall include the vehicle registration number and source of the waste (if known).

10 The Consent Holder shall require that the waste generator's site investigations and remedial action plans for all contaminated soils received at the Woodstock Landfill be required to comply with the NZ Contaminated Land Management Guidelines No 5, and certified by a Suitably Qualified and Experienced Person (SQEP) as defined in NZ Contaminated Land Management Guidelines No 5.

11 If topsoil is imported to the site, for temporary stockpiling and use in the landfill capping layer at a later date, or imported to the site for direct use in the final capping layer, it shall be tested:

a) for the parameters:

- i. Heavy metals (HM): Arsenic, Cadmium, Chromium (total), Copper, Lead, Nickel, Zinc and Mercury;
- ii. Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAH)
- iii. Organochlorine Pesticides (OCP)
- iv. Asbestos (semi-qualitative analysis)

b) at a rate of 1 test per 500 m<sup>3</sup> of incoming material with a minimum of 3 tests.

c) in an IANZ certified laboratory

12 Topsoil shall only be accepted where it meets the following Topsoil Waste Acceptance Criteria (TWAC):

- a. For HM, PAH and OCP: The Class 5 Waste Acceptance Criteria of the WasteMINZ Landfill Guidelines (2022).
- b. Will not contain asbestos.

13 An annual Topsoil Acceptance Report shall be prepared and submitted to Environment Canterbury and Waimakariri District Council describing, as a minimum, the source of the topsoil, the volume of topsoil accepted, a summary table of all laboratory test results

# Acceptable Wastes

---

This schedule of acceptable wastes is extracted from Appendix D of the WasteMINZ Technical Guidelines for Disposal to Land Revision 3.1 (September 2023), and applies to all wastes considered to be Special Waste that require testing. Leachability testing should be completed to provide assurance that waste materials meet the following recommended waste acceptance criteria. The waste acceptance criteria leachability limits represent maximum values which should not be exceeded and should be viewed as a minimum treatment specification for a landfill. If the following limits are exceeded by a leachate extract of the waste with respect to any of the listed constituents, then the material is not suitable for disposal to the facility.

## Appendix D Class 1 Landfill Waste Acceptance Criteria (WAC)

For Class 1 Landfills, leachability testing should be completed to provide assurance that waste materials meet the following recommended WAC. The WAC leachability limits represent maximum values which should not be exceeded and should be viewed as a minimum treatment specification for a landfill.

If the following limits are exceeded by a leachate extract of the waste with respect to any of the listed constituents, then the material is not suitable for disposal to the facility.

**Table D-1 Class 1 WAC for Inorganic and Organic Elements**

Contaminant of concern	Unit	Maximum allowable TCLP concentration	Source
<b>Inorganics</b>			
Aluminium	mg/L	40	Module 2: Hazardous Waste Guidelines (MfE 2004)
Antimony	mg/L	0.6	Module 2: Hazardous Waste Guidelines (MfE 2004)
Arsenic	mg/L	5	USEPA Chapter 40 CFR
Barium	mg/L	100	USEPA Chapter 40 CFR
Beryllium	mg/L	10	Module 2: Hazardous Waste Guidelines (MfE 2004)
Boron	mg/L	20	Module 2: Hazardous Waste Guidelines (MfE 2004)
Cadmium	mg/L	1	USEPA Chapter 40 CFR
Chromium	mg/L	5	USEPA Chapter 40 CFR
Copper	mg/L	5	Module 2: Hazardous Waste Guidelines (MfE 2004)
Cyanides	mg/L	50	USEPA Chapter 40 CFR
Fluoride	mg/L	200	Module 2: Hazardous Waste Guidelines (MfE 2004)
Lead	mg/L	5	USEPA Chapter 40 CFR
Lithium	mg/L	20	Module 2: Hazardous Waste Guidelines (MfE 2004)
Mercury	mg/L	0.2	USEPA Chapter 40 CFR
Molybdenum	mg/L	10	Module 2: Hazardous Waste Guidelines (MfE 2004)

Contaminant of concern	Unit	Maximum allowable TCLP concentration	Source
Nickel	mg/L	10	Module 2: Hazardous Waste Guidelines (MfE 2004)
Selenium	mg/L	1	USEPA Chapter 40 CFR
Silver	mg/L	5	USEPA Chapter 40 CFR
Sulphides	mg/L	50	USEPA Chapter 40 CFR
Tin	mg/L	1000	Module 2: Hazardous Waste Guidelines (MfE 2004)
Vanadium	mg/L	2	Module 2: Hazardous Waste Guidelines (MfE 2004)
Zinc	mg/L	10	Module 2: Hazardous Waste Guidelines (MfE 2004)
Organics			
1,1,1 Trichloroethane	mg/L	200	Module 2: Hazardous Waste Guidelines (MfE 2004)
1,1,2 Trichloroethane	mg/L	500	Module 2: Hazardous Waste Guidelines (MfE 2004)
1,1,2,2 Tetrachloroethane	mg/L	50	Module 2: Hazardous Waste Guidelines (MfE 2004)
1,1-Dichloroethylene	mg/L	0.7	USEPA Chapter 40 CFR
1,2 Dibromo-3-chloropropane	mg/L	0.2	Module 2: Hazardous Waste Guidelines (MfE 2004)
1,2 Dichlorobenzene	mg/L	0.2	Module 2: Hazardous Waste Guidelines (MfE 2004)
1,2 Dichloroethene	mg/L	10	Module 2: Hazardous Waste Guidelines (MfE 2004)
1,2 Dichloropropane	mg/L	1	Module 2: Hazardous Waste Guidelines (MfE 2004)
1,2-Dichloroethane	mg/L	0.5	USEPA Chapter 40 CFR
1,3 Dichloropropene	mg/L	2	Module 2: Hazardous Waste Guidelines (MfE 2004)
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	mg/L	7.5	USEPA Chapter 40 CFR
2 Chlorophenol	mg/L	0.05	Module 2: Hazardous Waste Guidelines (MfE 2004)
2,4 Dichlorophenol	mg/L	0.05	Module 2: Hazardous Waste Guidelines (MfE 2004)
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	mg/L	400	USEPA Chapter 40 CFR

Contaminant of concern	Unit	Maximum allowable TCLP concentration	Source
2,4,5-Trichlorophenoxypropionic acid	mg/L	1	USEPA Chapter 40 CFR
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	mg/L	2	USEPA Chapter 40 CFR
2,4-Dichlorophenoxyacetic acid	mg/L	10	USEPA Chapter 40 CFR
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	mg/L	0.13	USEPA Chapter 40 CFR
Aniline	mg/L	0.2	Module 2: Hazardous Waste Guidelines (MfE 2004)
Benzene	mg/L	0.5	USEPA Chapter 40 CFR
Bromodichloromethane	mg/L	1	Module 2: Hazardous Waste Guidelines (MfE 2004)
Bromoform	mg/L	10	Module 2: Hazardous Waste Guidelines (MfE 2004)
Carbon disulphide	mg/L	3	Module 2: Hazardous Waste Guidelines (MfE 2004)
Carbon Tetrachloride	mg/L	0.5	USEPA Chapter 40 CFR
Chlordane	mg/L	0.03	USEPA Chapter 40 CFR
Chlorobenzene	mg/L	100	USEPA Chapter 40 CFR
Chloroform	mg/L	6	USEPA Chapter 40 CFR
Dibromochloromethane	mg/L	10	Module 2: Hazardous Waste Guidelines (MfE 2004)
Dichloromethane	mg/L	2	Module 2: Hazardous Waste Guidelines (MfE 2004)
Diethylphthalate	mg/L	100	Module 2: Hazardous Waste Guidelines (MfE 2004)
Dimethylphthalate	mg/L	400	Module 2: Hazardous Waste Guidelines (MfE 2004)
Endrin	mg/L	0.02	USEPA Chapter 40 CFR
Ethyl benzene	mg/L	50	Module 2: Hazardous Waste Guidelines (MfE 2004)
Heptachlor	mg/L	0.008	USEPA Chapter 40 CFR
Hexachloro – 1,3-butadiene	mg/L	0.5	USEPA Chapter 40 CFR
Hexachlorobenzene	mg/L	0.13	USEPA Chapter 40 CFR
Hexachloroethane	mg/L	3	USEPA Chapter 40 CFR

Contaminant of concern	Unit	Maximum allowable TCLP concentration	Source
Lindane	mg/L	0.4	USEPA Chapter 40 CFR
m-Cresol	mg/L	200	USEPA Chapter 40 CFR
Methoxychlor	mg/L	10	USEPA Chapter 40 CFR
Methyl ethyl ketone	mg/L	200	USEPA Chapter 40 CFR
Naphthalene	mg/L	10	Module 2: Hazardous Waste Guidelines (MfE 2004)
Nitrobenzene	mg/L	2	USEPA Chapter 40 CFR
o-Cresol	mg/L	200	USEPA Chapter 40 CFR
p-Cresol	mg/L	200	USEPA Chapter 40 CFR
Pentachlorophenol	mg/L	100	USEPA Chapter 40 CFR
Phenol	mg/L	40	Module 2: Hazardous Waste Guidelines (MfE 2004)
Polychlorinated biphenyls	mg/L	50	USEPA Chapter 40 CFR
Pyridine	mg/L	5	USEPA Chapter 40 CFR
Tetrachloroethylene	mg/L	0.7	USEPA Chapter 40 CFR
Toluene	mg/L	100	Module 2: Hazardous Waste Guidelines (MfE 2004)
Total cresol	mg/L	200	USEPA Chapter 40 CFR
Total halogenated compounds	mg/L	1000	USEPA Chapter 40 CFR
Total synthetic non-halogenated compounds	mg/L	10000	USEPA Chapter 40 CFR
Toxaphene	mg/L	0.5	USEPA Chapter 40 CFR
Tributyltin oxide	mg/L	3	Module 2: Hazardous Waste Guidelines (MfE 2004)
Trichloroethylene	mg/L	0.7	USEPA Chapter 40 CFR
Vinyl chloride	mg/L	0.2	USEPA Chapter 40 CFR
Xylene (m,o,p)	mg/L	100	Module 2: Hazardous Waste Guidelines (MfE 2004)

## Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH)

These limits for PAHs have been taken from the Queensland Model Conditions for Landfills that are equivalent to the WasteMINZ Class 1 landfill classification.

Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH)	
Contaminant	Maximum TCLP (mg/l)
Anthracene	0.7
Benz (a) anthracene	0.05
Benz (c) phenanthrene	0.05
Benzo (a) pyrene	0.02
Benzo (b) fluoranthene	0.05
Benzo (k) fluoranthene	0.05
Chrysene	0.1
Dibenz (a,h) anthracene	0.02
Dibenz (a,h) pyrene	0.1
Dimethylbenz (a) anthracene	0.05
Fluoranthene	0.2
Indeno (1,2,3-cd) pyrene	0.1
Naphthalene	0.7
Phenanthrene	0.1
Pyrene	0.7
Total PAH	1

# Prohibited Wastes

---

This schedule of Prohibited Wastes is an extract from Appendix I of the WasteMINZ Technical Guidelines for Disposal to Land Revision 3.1 (September 2023). Numbering and terminology used are generally consistent with the ANZECC classification system and refer in the first instance to untreated wastes. As the system contains both waste types and constituents, more than one category may be applicable to a particular waste and therefore all categories need to be checked to determine whether landfill disposal may be appropriate.



# Appendix I Prohibited Wastes

Numbering and terminology used are generally consistent with the ANZECC classification system and refer in the first instance to untreated wastes. As the system contains both waste types and constituents, more than one category may be applicable to a particular waste and therefore all categories need to be checked to determine whether landfill disposal may be appropriate.

## I.1 Waste Prohibited at All Landfills/Fills (Class 1, 2, 3, 4 or 5)

**Table I-1 Prohibited Waste Characteristics**

Waste code	Waste description
H1	Explosives
H2	Gases
H3	Flammable liquids
H4.1	Flammable solids
H4.2	Substances or wastes liable to spontaneous combustion
H5.1	Oxidising substances
H5.2	Organic peroxides
H6.2	Infectious substances
H7	Radioactive materials
H8	Corrosives
H10	Liberation of toxic gases in contact with air or water
H13	Capable, by any means after disposal, of yielding another material i.e., leachate which possesses any of the above characteristics

**Table I-2 Waste Types which may Exhibit the above Characteristics**

Waste code	Waste description
<b>Cyanides, surface treatment and heat treatment</b>	
A100	Cyanide containing waste from treatment of metals
A110	Cyanide containing waste
A120	Complexed cyanides
A130	Other cyanides

Waste code	Waste description
<b>Acids</b>	
B100	Sulfuric acid
B110	Hydrochloric acid
B120	Nitric acid
B130	Phosphoric acid
B140	Chromic acid
B150	Hydrofluoric acid
B160	Sulfuric/hydrochloric acid mixtures
B170	Other mixed acids
B180	Organic acids
<b>Alkalies</b>	
C100	Caustic soda, potash, alkaline cleaners
C110	Ammonium hydroxide
C140	Other (hazardous substances must be specified)
<b>Inorganic chemicals</b>	
D100	Metal carbonyls
D120	Mercury
D280	Alkali metals
D330	Sulphur
<b>Reactive chemicals</b>	
E100	Oxidising agents
E110	Reducing agents
E120	Explosives
E130	Highly reactive chemicals
<b>Paints, lacquers, varnishes, inks, dyes, pigments, adhesives</b>	
F200	Uncured adhesives or resins
<b>Organic solvents</b>	
G100	Ethers
G110	Non-halogenated (FP>61°C), n.o.s
G130	Halogenated (FP>61°C), n.o.s
G140	Halogenated (FP>61°C), n.o.s
G150	Halogenated n.o.s

Waste code	Waste description
G160	Wastes from the production and formulation of organic solvents
G180	Others (hazardous substances must be specified)
<b>Pesticides</b>	
H100	Inorganic, organometallic pesticides
H110	Organophosphorus pesticides
H180	Organic wood preserving compounds
H120	Nitrogen-containing pesticides
H130	Halogen-containing pesticides
H140	Sulphur-containing pesticides
H150	Mixed pesticide residues
H160	Copper-chrome-arsenic
H170	Other inorganic wood preserving compounds
<b>Oils, hydrocarbons, emulsions</b>	
J100	Waste mineral oils unfit for their original intended use (lubricating, hydraulic)
J110	Waste hydrocarbons
J120	Waste oils/water, hydrocarbon/water mixtures, emulsions (mainly oil and or hydrocarbons, i.e., >50%)
J130	Waste oils/water, hydrocarbon/water mixtures, emulsions (mainly water, i.e., >50%)
J140	Transformer fluids (excluding polychlorinated biphenyls [PCBs])
J150	Other (cutting, soluble oils)
J160	Tars and tarry residues (including tarry residues arising from refining)
<b>Putrescible, organic wastes</b>	
K100	Liquid animal effluent (poultry and fish processing)
K150	Liquid vegetable oils and derivatives
K170	Liquid animal oils and derivatives
K180	Abattoir effluent
K200	Food processing effluent
<b>Industrial washwaters, effluents</b>	
L100	Truck, machinery washwaters with or without detergents
L101	Car wash waters with or without detergents
L120	Cooling tower washwater
L130	Fire wastewaters

Waste code	Waste description
L140	Textile effluent
L150	Other industrial plant washdown water
<b>Organic chemicals</b>	
M100	PCBs and/or polyterphenyl (PCTs) and/or polybrominated biphenyls (PBBs)
M110	Equipment containing PCBs and/or PCTs and/or PBBs
M120	Solvents and materials contaminated with PCBs and/or PCTs and/or PBBs
M150	Phenols, phenol derivatives including chlorophenols
M160	Halogenated compounds n.o.s.
M170	Any congener of poly-chlorinated dibenzofuran
M180	Any congener of poly-chlorinated dibenzo-p- dioxin
M210	Organic cyanides
M250	Liquid surfactants and detergents
<b>Chemical and pharmaceutical wastes</b>	
R100	Infectious substances
R110	Pathogenic substances
R130	Cytotoxic substances
<b>Miscellaneous</b>	
T100	Waste chemical substances arising from research and development or teaching activities, which are not identified

## I.2 Waste Possibly Suitable for Class 1 Landfill Disposal – Solids and Sludges

Table I-3 Characteristics of Wastes Possibly Suitable for Class 1 Landfill Disposal

Waste code	Waste description
H6.1	Poisonous substances
H11	Toxic substances (chromic or delayed effects)
H12	Eco-toxic

**Table I-4 Waste Types which may Exhibit the Characteristics of Wastes Possibly Suitable for Class 1 Landfill Disposal**

Waste code	Waste description
<b>Alkalies</b>	
C120	Waste lime and cement
C130	Lime/caustic neutralised wastes containing metallic constituents
<b>Inorganic chemicals</b>	
D110	Inorganic fluoride compounds
D120	Mercury compounds
D121	Equipment and articles containing mercury
D130	Arsenic, arsenic compounds
D140	Chromium, chromium compounds
D141	Tannery wastes containing chromium
D150	Cadmium, cadmium compounds
D160	Beryllium, beryllium compounds
D170	Antimony, antimony compounds
D180	Thallium, thallium compounds
D190	Copper compounds
D200	Cobalt, cobalt compounds
D210	Nickel, nickel compounds
D220	Lead, lead compounds
D230	Zinc compounds
D240	Selenium, selenium compounds
D250	Tellurium, tellurium compounds
D260	Silver compounds
D261	Photographic waste containing silver
D270	Vanadium, vanadium compounds
D280	Alkali metal containing compounds
D290	Barium, barium compounds
D310	Boron, boron compounds
D320	Inorganic non-metallic phosphorus compounds
D330	Inorganic sulphur containing compounds
D340	Other inorganic compounds and complexes

Waste code	Waste description
<b>Putrescible, organic wastes</b>	
K100	Animal residues (poultry and fish processing wastes)
K101	Scallop processing residues
K120	Grease interceptor trap waste – domestic
K130	Bacterial sludge (septic tank)
K132	Sewage sludge and residues
K140	Tannery wastes not containing chromium
K150	Vegetable oil derivatives
K160	Vegetable wastes
K170	Animal oil derivatives (e.g., tallow)
K180	Abattoir residues
K190	Wool scouring wastes
<b>Organic Chemicals</b>	
M130	Non-halogenated (non-solvent) n.o.s.
M140	Heterocyclic organic compounds
M190	Organic phosphorus compounds
M200	Organic sulphur compounds
M220	Organic isocyanates
M230	Amines and other nitrogen compounds (aliphatic)
M240	Amines and other nitrogen compounds (aromatic)
M260	Highly odorous (e.g., mercaptans, acrylate)
M270	Methacrylate compounds
M280	Other
<b>Solid/sludge requiring special handling</b>	
N100	Drums which have contained hazardous substances (and which have been triple rinsed)
N110	Containers and bags which have contained hazardous substances (hazardous substances must be specified)
N120	Contaminated soils (hazardous substances must be specified)
N130	Spent catalysts (contaminants must be specified)
N140	Fire debris
N150	Fly ash
N160	Encapsulated wastes

Waste code	Waste description
N170	Chemically fixed wastes
N180	Solidified or polymerised wastes
N190	Ion-exchange column residues
N200	Industrial waste treatment sludges and residues n.o.s.
N210	Residues from pollution control operations
N220	Asbestos (refer to the Management and Removal of Asbestos Approved Code of Practice, WorkSafe New Zealand 2016)
N230	Synthetic mineral fibres
<b>Clinical and pharmaceutical wastes</b>	
R120	Pharmaceutical and residues
R140	Wastes from the production and preparation of pharmaceutical
<b>Miscellaneous</b>	
T120	Scrubber sludge
T130	Photographic chemicals which do not contain silver
T140	Inert sludges/slurries (e.g., clay, ceramic suspensions)
T150	Used tyres/tyre wastes
T190	Other (hazardous substances must be specified)